

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/822,839	04/02/2001	Masao Tsuruta	Q60559	8477
75	590 08/06/2004	EXAMINER		
•	MION, ZINN, MACI LVANIA AVENUE, N			
WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213		••••	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			-	·

DATE MAILED: 08/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

Application No. 09/822,839	Applicant(s) TSURUTA, MASAO	
Examiner Clark F. Dexter	Art Unit 3724	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 17 May 2004 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1.			brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper ding or in the proper order.
2.			brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the ealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3.			ast one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a ement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4.			brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5.		The	brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6.		A sir	ngle ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
	(a)		the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
	(b)		the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7.		The	brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8.		The	brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9.	\boxtimes	Othe	er (including any explanation in support of the above items):

It is respectfully submitted that the grouping of the claims is unclear and appears to be improper. Specifically, the claims have been divided into 2 groups which would appear to imply that the claims within the groups should be considered together (that is, they stand or fall together). However, under Group I it is stated that the claims within this group do not stand or fall together. Thus, this appears to conflict with the groupings, and it seems that there should either be two groups (wherein the claims within the group stand or fall together) or three groups.

> Clark F. Dexter **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit: 3724